RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER 010/011

JUL 22 2009

Approved for use through 02/28/2009. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE					
Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form					
Application No.: 10/786,802	First Named Applicant: CORTEZ Bruce				
Examiner: HAILU, Kibrom T Art Unit:	Status of Application: Rejection				
Tentative Participants:					
(1) Ronald Slusky	(2) Kibrom T ,Hailu				
(3)	(4) Huy D. V	(4) Huy D. Vu			
Proposed Date of Interview: 07/06/2009	Prop	Proposed Time: 11:00 AM AM/PM			
Type of Interview Requested:			· .		
(1) V Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference					
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: If yes, provide brief description:	YES	✓ NO)		
Issues To Be Discussed					
Issues Claims/ (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1) Rejection 1-18 Dol	ganow/McLean				
(2)					
(3)					
(4)	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Continuation Sheet Attached					
Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:					
Applicants claim (e.g. claim 1) that available bandwidth message is sent when particular thresholds are crossed.					
These are fixed bandwidth thresholds that are independent of the amount of bandwidth available for the link					
at a given time.) Delganow's thresholds for sending messages are NOT independent of the amount of (see continuation					
An interview was conducted on the above-identified NOTE: This form should be completed by applican (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue beca	t and submitted to t use of applicant's fa	the examiner in a	written reco	rd of this	
interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.					
Soon as possible.	1				
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature		Examiner/SPE S	Signature		
Ronald D. Słusky Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative 26,585					
Registration Number, if applicable	—				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 2 2 2009

CONTINUATION SHEET FOR APPLICANT-INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

APPLICATION 10/786,802

3. Brief Description of Argument to be Presented (continued)

bandwidth available for the link at a given time. Rather, they are based on a percentage of the last advertised bandwidth value. Thus Dolganow's thresholds are DEPENDENT, NOT INDEPENDENT, of the amount of bandwidth available for the link.

Moreover, McLean may have thresholds that are INDEPENDENT of the amount of the bandwidth available for a link. However, those thresholds do not relate to thresholds for determining when an available bandwidth message is to be sent. Therefore, combining the teachings of Delano and McLean does not result in an arrangement which advertises an amount of available bandwidth in response to the available bandwidth having crossed thresholds that are independent of the link's then-available bandwidth.

Rather, the sending of available bandwidth messages (advertising) in any combination of Dolganow with McLean would necessarily still use Dolganow's scheme for deciding when to send them.

The fact that it might be obvious to use McLean's thresholds in Dolganow for one purpose—namely for the reasons cited by the examiner—does not render it obvious to use McLean's thresholds for all purposes. Since McLean is totally silent about the sending of bandwidth advertising messages, there is no basis to assert that the person of ordinary skill would be led by anything in McLean to modify Dolganow's percentage-based scheme for determining when to send such messages.

Applicants are NOT saying that there is no motivation to combine. Applicants are saying that any obvious combination motivated by the teachings of McLean would not have any affect on the criteria for sending available bandwidth messages.